United States District Court				
	EASTERN	District of	MICHIGAN	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
		ORDE	R OF DETENTION PENDING VSR	
	V.	Case	HEARING	
	Anthony Mercino Defendant	Number:	97-80995	
	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 n of the defendant pending trial in this case.		en held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
— (1)		Part I—Findings of Fact	as been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state	
[] (1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a			
(2) (3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the			
(4)	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)			
[] (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	Alternative Findings (B)		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange		or the community.	
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention				
	nd that the credible testimony and information submitte of the evidence that	ed at the hearing establishes by	☐ clear and convincing evidence ☐ a prepon-	
Defendant has just been released from custody. Has poor past performance, but is drug free, has GED, has made progress. However, given previous "zero tolerance" statement by the District Judge and the short time to the				
	on of supervised release hearing, the court find			
correct appeal of the l	e defendant is committed to the custody of the tions facility separate, to the extent practicable, . The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of	from persons awaiting or s opportunity for private con he Government, the perso	s designated representative for confinement in a serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver	

October 12, 2005

s/Virginia M. Morgan

Date

Signature of Judge

VIRGINIA M. MORGAN, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).